

Date: Thu, 15 Jul 93 04:30:24 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #230
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Thu, 15 Jul 93 Volume 93 : Issue 230

Today's Topics:

 the hazing thing

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 14 Jul 93 17:55:48 GMT
From: ogicse!uwm.edu!math.ohio-state.edu!cs.utexas.edu!gerald@cc.utexas.edu!
emx.cc.utexas.edu!not-for-mail@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: the hazing thing
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

jerry@isi.com (Jerry Gardner) says:

>In article <CA29oH.Ks@squam.banyan.com> dts@banyan.com (Daniel Senie) writes:
>>The short answer is that the code tests are a government approved form of
Hazing.
>>This from a government that outlawed hazing...

>I absolutely agree with this! The theory tests are another form of hazing too.

>I'm in favor of outlawing both the code and theory tests.

He's right, folks. And the \$5.60 is extortion! And making us
fill out Form 610 is a clear infringement of Something Or Other!!

I'm on a crusade to remove all mention of phonetics from the written

tests. Why should I have to learn them if I am sure that I will never use phone on the air? I know I could learn them if I had the time and I didn't have other things to do, but it's clear hazing. We have to learn them for no other reason than that the Olde Phartes who make up the written tests had to learn them, and their Elmers before them. I resent having to learn phonetics in order to upgrade and get more CW sub-bands.

I know the phonetics come more easily to some people than others, but I presume that they have some sort of computer to help them remember them. This Unfairness Must Cease!

Derek Wills (AA5BT - that's Bike Tolf, no - Belta Tuliet? I dunno...)

Department of Astronomy, University of Texas,
Austin TX 78712. (512-471-1392)
oo7@astro.as.utexas.edu

Date: Wed, 14 Jul 1993 21:49:12 GMT
From: agate!howland.reston.ans.net!ux1.cso.uiuc.edu!newsrelay.iastate.edu!
news.iastate.edu!wjturner@ames.arpa
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <CA4IAo.Hz7@news.iastate.edu>, <CA5w1F.4zA@newsserver.pixel.kodak.com>,
<1993Jul14.202109.10780@porthos.cc.bellcore.com>
Subject : Re: The Canonical list of Code-Wars Answers

In article <1993Jul14.202109.10780@porthos.cc.bellcore.com>
mgsail@prefect.cc.bellcore.com (goldstein,marvin) writes:
>In article <CA5w1F.4zA@newsserver.pixel.kodak.com> wpd@raster.kodak.com (Bill
DeMatties) writes:

>>
>> You couldn't pay me to change my call sign!
>>
>> Bill DeMatties
>> N2USA
>
> Nor I!!
>
> Marv Goldstein N2OWL (Advanced)

Same here!! (Also Advanced)

My reasoning--if anyone cares--is that my whole family (three generations)

has 1X3 calls. One W0XXX, one K0XXX, and now two N0XXXs. Pretty soon even those will be gone.

Just my \$0.02!!!

```
--
Will Turner,  N0RDV
wjturner@iastate.edu      | "Are you going to have any professionalism, |
twp77@isuvax.iastate.edu   | or am I going to have to beat it into you?" |
TURNERW@vaxld.ameslab.gov  | -----
```

```
-----
Date: Wed, 14 Jul 93 21:02:50 GMT
From: agate!howland.reston.ans.net!darwin.sura.net!news-feed-2.peachnet.edu!
umn.edu!csus.edu!netcom.com!netcomsv!orchard.la.locus.com!prodnet.la.locus.com!
lando.la.locus.com!dana@ames.arpa
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu
```

References <930713.020025.7W9.rusnews.w165w@garlic.sbs.com>,
<CA4IAo.Hz7@news.iastate.edu>, <FOROZCO.93Jul14110914@dante.nmsu.edu>o
Subject : Re: The Canonical list of Code-Wars Answers

In article <FOROZCO.93Jul14110914@dante.nmsu.edu> forozco@nmsu.edu (OROZCO)
writes:

```
>
>What about us who never got a no-code license, got a N#XXX, upgraded,
>and kept it??
```

Since the 1x3 calls like N1MPQ were assigned to both Generals and Technicians, you can't tell for certain the license class from the call.

In fact, you can't tell if someone is a coded Technician from the callsign database.

In California, where there are no more N6xxx calls, they're considered desirable. Also, I believe the N6xxx calls ran out before the Technician license dropped the code requirement, so an N6xxx call is a clear sign the amateur has passed at least the 5WPM test.

--

* Dana H. Myers KK6JQ | Views expressed here are *
* (310) 337-5136 | mine and do not necessarily *
* dana@locus.com DoD #466 | reflect those of my employer
*
* This Extra supports the abolition of the 13 and 20 WPM tests *

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #230
